Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,246	HOEKSTRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Mabry, PhD	1625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>John Mabry, PhD</u> .	(3)		
(2) J. Scott Young, Esq.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 April 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-14,16 and 17</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Applicant agreed to amend the claims to the elected group (Group I): delete the term "solvates", replace the term "derivatives" with the term "salts" to read "pharmaceutically acceptable salts" and to cancelled method of treatment claims 24-27.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	_